

FILED

November 04, 2021

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

BY: Jennifer Clark
DEPUTY

**JIAXING SUPER LIGHTING ELECTRIC
APPLIANCE CO., LTD. AND OBERT,
INC.,**

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**CH LIGHTING TECHNOLOGY CO.,
LTD., ELLIOTT ELECTRIC SUPPLY
INC. AND SHAOXING RUISING
LIGHTING CO., LTD.,**

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:20-cv-00018-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

As used herein:

"Super Lighting" refers to *Jiaxing Super Lighting Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. and Obert, Inc.*

"CH Lighting" refers to *CH Lighting Technology Co., Ltd.*

"Ruising" refers to *Shaoxing Ruising Lighting Co., Ltd.*

"Elliott" refers to *Elliott Electric Supply Inc.*

"Defendants" refers collectively to CH Lighting, Ruising, and Elliott.

"The '140 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,939,140.

"The '540 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,352,540.

"The '125 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,295,125.

"The Asserted Patents" refers collectively to the '140 Patent, the '540 Patent, and the '125 Patent.

I. INFRINGEMENT

Directions -- Question 1: In answering the Question below, please check "Yes" or "No" for each patent claim in the space provided.

Question No. 1: Has Super Lighting proven by a preponderance of the evidence that all of Defendants' accused products containing the LT2600 integrated circuit infringe the following claims of the '140 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Super Lighting. "No" is a finding for CH Lighting.

'140 Patent, Claim 1:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent, Claim 4:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent, Claim 5:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent, Claim 24:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent, Claim 28:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent, Claim 31:	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____

II. VALIDITY

Directions -- Question 2: In answering the Question below, please check "Yes" or "No" for each patent claim in the space provided.

Question No. 2: Have Defendants proven by clear and convincing evidence that the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are invalidated by the prior art?

"Yes" is a finding for CH Lighting. "No" is a finding for Super Lighting.

'540 Patent, Claim 13	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'540 Patent, Claim 14	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 1	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 4	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 5	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 24	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 28	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent, Claim 31	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>

III. DAMAGES

Directions – Answer questions 3 and 4: In answering Questions 3 and 4, please provide a **dollar amount** in the blank spaces.

You must include some amount of damages for at least the '125 Patent, which has been proven valid and infringed. Do not include damages for the '540 Patent if you determine it is invalid. Do not include damages for the '140 Patent if you determine it is invalid. Do not include damages for the LT2600 chip if you determine it does not infringe the '140 Patent.

Question 3: What is the total amount of past damages you find Super Lighting has proven by a preponderance of the evidence for CH Lighting and Ruising's past infringement?

\$ 13,872,872.00

Question 4: What is the total amount of past damages you find Super Lighting has proven by a preponderance of the evidence for Elliott's past infringement?

\$ 298,454.00

IV. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

Directions -- Questions 5A and 5B: In answering the Questions below, please check "Yes" or "No" in the space provided.

Question No. 5A: Has Super Lighting proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CH Lighting and Ruising's infringement was willful?

"Yes" is a finding for Super Lighting. "No" is a finding for CH Lighting.

'125 Patent	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'540 Patent	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____
'140 Patent	Yes <u>✓</u>	No _____

Question No. 5B: Has Super Lighting proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Elliott's infringement was willful?


"Yes" is a finding for Super Lighting. "No" is a finding for Elliott.

'125 Patent	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'540 Patent	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>
'140 Patent	Yes _____	No <u>✓</u>

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. After you are satisfied that your unanimous answers are correctly reflected above, your Jury Foreperson should then sign and date this Verdict Form in the spaces below. Once that is done, notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Jury Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

I certify that the jury unanimously concurs in every element of the above verdict.

SIGNED this 4 day of November, 2021.



JURY FOREPERSON