

Chief Judge F. Dennis Saylor, IV: "Defendant's Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review (Docket No. 90) is DENIED without prejudice as premature. Although defendant has filed multiple petitions for inter partes review, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board has not made a determination as to whether to institute such a review. Under the circumstances, the balance of competing interests weighs in favor of denying the stay and permitting discovery to continue. The Court may revisit the issue, as appropriate, should an IPR be instituted. "ELECTRONIC ORDER entered denying 90 Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review. (Bono, Christine) (Entered: 12/03/2020)

As of December 4, 2020, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

Singular Computing LLC v. Google LLC
1-19-cv-12551 (DMA), 12/3/2020, docket entry 103