

ORAL ORDER: Having reviewed the parties' joint status report (D.I. 519) and proposed stipulation (D.I. 520), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall file with the Court a joint claim construction brief, in accordance with the parties' agreed-upon page limits, on June 26, 2020. The parties are to exchange their portions of the briefing as follows: DJI on May 22; Autel on June 5; DJI on June 15; Autel on June 25. The terms to be addressed in the briefing are "flight control module" (which the parties agree should be briefed) as well as those proposed by DJI: "a magnetometer secured onto an extension member," "a magnetometer attached onto a landing stand," and "[central] body/one or more branch housing members." The parties may submit new expert declarations and may also rely on previously-submitted expert declarations. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that trial in this matter will be phased, with liability to be decided in the first phase, and - if the jury returns a verdict of infringement of at least one valid claim - damages and willfulness to be decided in the second phase, with the same jury. No evidence or argument that is solely relevant to phase 2 will be permitted in phase 1 of the trial. The parties' agreed-upon nature and timing of additional expert discovery (D.I. 519 at 5-6) is ADOPTED. It appears that DJI has accurately described the scope of the additional discovery (see id. at 6) and it is unclear whether Autel disagrees. If there is a ripe dispute as to the scope of the discovery, the parties shall submit a request for a discovery teleconference. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall remain in full and cooperative contact with one another, and the Court, as all continue to prepare for a hoped-for jury trial to begin on September 14. The parties shall file a joint status report on June 26, 2020 and should let the Court know at any point if they believe the ongoing challenges and restrictions imposed as a result of the coronavirus pandemic will make it impossible to proceed with trial as scheduled. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the stipulation (D.I. 520) is DENIED AS MOOT. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 5/8/20. (ntl) (Entered: 05/08/2020)

As of May 11, 2020, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

*SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. et al v. Autel Robotics USA LLC et al*  
1-16-cv-00706 (DDE), 5/8/2020, docket entry 521