

# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®

OCTOBER 2022

**EDITOR'S NOTE: SECTION 363**

Victoria Prussen Spears

**A ROAD MAP FOR POTENTIAL SECTION 363 BUYERS OF DISTRESSED BUSINESSES IN THE UNITED STATES**

Frank Grese, Debra A. Dandeneau, Michael Nowina, William J. Rowe, Derek Liu, Matthew Grant and Barry Chang

**SECTION 363(m) CIRCUIT SPLIT HEADED FOR U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW**

David S. Meyer, William L. Wallander, Steven M. Abramowitz, Steven Zundell and Elias M. Medina

**FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION SETTLES WITH BANKRUPT MASSACHUSETTS GENERATOR, WHILE INVESTIGATION INTO ISO-NEW ENGLAND REMAINS ONGOING**

Norman C. Bay, Paul J. Pantano, Jr., and Alexandra K. Calabro

**FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS VACATES FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION ORDERS, REAFFIRMING CONTRACT REJECTION POWERS IN BANKRUPTCY**

Ben Hoch and Marsha Sukach

**THIRD-PARTY RELEASES IN MAHWAH BERGEN'S CHAPTER 11 PLAN HELD TO BE UNENFORCEABLE**

Tyler R. Ferguson, Aaron Gavant, Sean T. Scott and Samuel R. Rabuck

**CREDITOR FILES U.S. LAWSUIT AGAINST SRI LANKA IN CONNECTION WITH ITS SOVEREIGN DEBT DEFAULT, ASSERTING BREACH OF CONTRACT AND PARI PASSU CLAIMS**

Richard J. Cooper, Carmine D. Boccuzzi, Jr., Jorge U. Juantorena, Boaz S. Morag, Juan G. Giráldez, Sui-Jim Ho, and Rathna J. Ramamurthi

**LOMBARD V. SKYJETS: KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR LENDERS AND RESTRUCTURING PROFESSIONALS**

Bevis Metcalfe, Matthew Smith, William Sugden and Matthew Mazenier

**HONG KONG COURT BREATHES NEW LIFE INTO RULE IN *GIBBS***

Bruce Bell, Howard K.H. Lam, Adam J. Goldberg, Flora F. W. Innes and Tim Bennett



LexisNexis

# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

---

VOLUME 18

NUMBER 7

October 2022

---

<b>Editor's Note: Section 363</b> Victoria Prussen Spears	303
<b>A Road Map for Potential Section 363 Buyers of Distressed Businesses in the United States</b> Frank Grese, Debra A. Dandeneau, Michael Nowina, William J. Rowe, Derek Liu, Matthew Grant and Barry Chang	306
<b>Section 363(m) Circuit Split Headed for U.S. Supreme Court Review</b> David S. Meyer, William L. Wallander, Steven M. Abramowitz, Steven Zundell and Elias M. Medina	317
<b>Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Settles with Bankrupt Massachusetts Generator, While Investigation into ISO-New England Remains Ongoing</b> Norman C. Bay, Paul J. Pantano, Jr., and Alexandra K. Calabro	322
<b>Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals Vacates Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Orders, Reaffirming Contract Rejection Powers in Bankruptcy</b> Ben Hoch and Marsha Sukach	332
<b>Third-Party Releases in Mahwah Bergen's Chapter 11 Plan Held to Be Unenforceable</b> Tyler R. Ferguson, Aaron Gavant, Sean T. Scott and Samuel R. Rabuck	335
<b>Creditor Files U.S. Lawsuit Against Sri Lanka in Connection with Its Sovereign Debt Default, Asserting Breach of Contract and Pari Passu Claims</b> Richard J. Cooper, Carmine D. Boccuzzi, Jr., Jorge U. Juantorena, Boaz S. Morag, Juan G. Giráldez, Sui-Jim Ho, and Rathna J. Ramamurthi	340
<b><i>Lombard v. Skyjets</i>: Key Takeaways for Lenders and Restructuring Professionals</b> Bevis Metcalfe, Matthew Smith, William Sugden and Matthew Mazenier	343
<b>Hong Kong Court Breathes New Life into Rule in <i>Gibbs</i></b> Bruce Bell, Howard K.H. Lam, Adam J. Goldberg, Flora F. W. Innes and Tim Bennett	347

**QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?**

---

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Ryan D. Kearns, J.D., at ..... 513.257.9021  
Email: ..... ryan.kearns@lexisnexis.com  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at ..... (800) 833-9844  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (518) 487-3385  
Fax Number ..... (800) 828-8341  
Customer Service Website ..... <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or ..... (800) 223-1940  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (937) 247-0293

---

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print)

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook)

ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT’S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW [page number] ([year])

**Example:** Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the “Rescue and Recovery” Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 PRATT’S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW 349 (2022)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office  
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862  
[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

# *Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors*

---

## **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

**STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ**

*President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

## **EDITOR**

**VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS**

*Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

## **BOARD OF EDITORS**

**SCOTT L. BAENA**

*Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP*

**ANDREW P. BROZMAN**

*Clifford Chance US LLP*

**MICHAEL L. COOK**

*Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP*

**MARK G. DOUGLAS**

*Jones Day*

**MARK J. FRIEDMAN**

*DLA Piper*

**STUART I. GORDON**

*Rivkin Radler LLP*

**PATRICK E. MEARS**

*Barnes & Thornburg LLP*

*Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law* is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, [smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com](mailto:smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com), 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

# *Lombard v. Skyjets*: Key Takeaways for Lenders and Restructuring Professionals

*By Bevis Metcalfe, Matthew Smith, William Sugden and Matthew Mazenier\**

*Actions will speak louder than words—whether in the form of a “no waiver” provision in a contract or an express reservation of rights—when a court is considering a lender’s response to a borrower’s default on its financing arrangements. The authors of this article discuss a recent English High Court decision, which provides some important guidance for lenders and restructuring professionals when communicating with distressed borrowers.*

The recent English High Court decision *Lombard North Central Plc v. European Skyjets Ltd*<sup>1</sup> provides some important guidance for lenders and restructuring professionals when communicating with distressed borrowers. Actions will speak louder than words—whether in the form of a “no waiver” provision in a contract or an express reservation of rights—when a court is considering a lender’s response to a borrower’s default on its financing arrangements. While there are no massive surprises to emerge from the decision, the breadth and creativity of some of the arguments advanced by the borrower’s lawyers nonetheless provided the court with the opportunity to provide additional certainty to market participants.

## **BACKGROUND**

In October 2008, the lender (“Lombard”) loaned c.US\$8.8m to the borrower, European Skyjets Limited, (“Skyjets”). The funds were used to purchase an aircraft. The loan was secured by a first ranking mortgage over the aircraft and was repayable through monthly instalments.

Between 2009 and 2011 the borrower missed several instalment payments. This led to restructuring discussions between the parties and fees being levied

---

\* Bevis Metcalfe is a partner in Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP’s Financial Restructuring Group and Private Credit Group with a practice spanning all aspects of corporate credit, restructurings, complex cross-border insolvencies, and distressed M&A. Matthew Smith is a partner at the firm advising private equity sponsors, private credit funds, banks and corporate borrowers on leveraged and general finance transactions across a range of sectors. William Sugden is an associate in the firm’s Financial Restructuring Group and Private Credit Group. Matthew Mazenier is an associate in the firm’s Leveraged Finance and Private Credit Group. Based in the firm’s office in London, the authors may be contacted at [bevis.metcalfe@cwt.com](mailto:bevis.metcalfe@cwt.com), [matthew.smith@cwt.com](mailto:matthew.smith@cwt.com), [will.sugden@cwt.com](mailto:will.sugden@cwt.com) and [matt.mazenier@cwt.com](mailto:matt.mazenier@cwt.com), respectively.

<sup>1</sup> [2022] EWHC 728 (QB).

by the lender in return for a de facto forbearance from exercising its right of acceleration. By November 2012, the borrower was deemed to be insolvent and Lombard demanded the borrower pay the outstanding sum (by that time, c.\$US5.8m). The following month, the borrower went into administration and Lombard commenced proceedings for the outstanding balance, maintaining that its actions in terminating and accelerating the loan agreement, and enforcing its security and selling the aircraft, were valid. The borrower brought a counterclaim, arguing that Lombard had not been entitled to terminate the loan agreement or sell the aircraft. The borrower also claimed damages arising from Lombard having breached its equitable duties when enforcing the security and selling the aircraft.

## **DECISION AND KEY TAKEAWAYS**

The court found in favor of Lombard. Lombard was judged to have been entitled to terminate and accelerate the loan agreement albeit on the basis of misrepresentation and material adverse change events of default (see point 3 below), and to enforce its security. This was despite the acceleration notice itself citing a non-payment event of default which the court concluded did not constitute a valid basis for exercise of the acceleration right.

The court's judgment includes some important considerations for lenders when dealing with distressed borrowers, set out below.

### **1. "No Waiver" Clauses and Reservation of Rights Letters**

Throughout the lending relationship the borrower missed a number of payments. Lombard had, over this time, permitted the borrower extra time to make these payments and indeed accepted late payments. As such, the borrower argued that Lombard was not entitled to rely on these late payments as cause to terminate and accelerate the loan agreement. Lombard responded by arguing that the "no waiver" provision in the loan agreement, coupled with having issued reservation of rights letters, meant that it was entitled to rely on these missed payments as grounds for acceleration.

The court found that Lombard had, through its conduct, waived its right to rely on the missed payments to terminate and accelerate the loan agreement. Indeed, this was the case even though the termination / acceleration provision in the loan agreement did not require a default to be continuing when the acceleration notice was served. The "no waiver" provision and reservation of rights correspondence were ineffective to displace Lombard's affirmation of the contract by conduct.

Lenders should remember that their conduct in affirming breaches of the agreement will override provisions purporting to protect them in the loan

agreement or in contemporary correspondence. This conduct could include giving the borrower more time to pay, accepting late payments, charging and accepting a late payment fee, and delaying in taking action.

## **2. Lender's Obligations When Assessing the Value of a Secured Asset for The Purposes of a Financial Covenant**

The loan agreement included a financial covenant that was assessed by dividing the value of the aircraft by the amount outstanding under the loan. The relevant clause stated that the value of the asset was to be assessed in the Lender's opinion.

The court determined that the clause required the lender to act reasonably, despite there being no such obligation in the clause itself. The court did not accept the borrower's argument that the clause required the lender to have first taken all reasonable steps to ascertain the open market value of the aircraft. The court also rejected the borrower's argument that the lender was required to take steps to increase the value of the aircraft.

## **3. Relying on a Material Adverse Change**

The loan agreement stated that an event of default will occur if "in the opinion of the Lender, a material adverse change occurs in the business, assets, condition, operations or prospects of a Group Company or any Credit Support Provider." For a lender to rely on such an event of default to terminate an agreement the court stated that it must be satisfied that the opinion was honest and rational, and formed when the notice was served. The court was satisfied, based on evidence, that Lombard had considered that the financial health of the borrower's business was materially worsening, and that the business was cash-flow insolvent.

The court noted that on the wording of the clause, it was not necessary that the material adverse change must actually have had an objective adverse effect. However, lenders should carefully review such provisions and seek legal advice on the terms of the clause in question before taking action on the basis of a material adverse change event of default.

## **4. Lender's Obligations When Selling the Secured Aircraft**

Lenders have a duty when selling a secured asset to take reasonable steps to obtain a proper price for the asset. Skyjets contended Lombard had not discharged this duty, by account of its conduct when storing the asset, the sale process it approved, and the price that was set. Some of the conduct complained of by the borrower included that Lombard should have sought cheaper storage, that the external valuation obtained by Lombard was too low, and that there were deficiencies in the sale process, including around the time it took for



Lombard to appoint a selling agent and to commission an external valuation, and the aircraft not being advertised in certain trade journals. The judge was not persuaded that any of these factors meant that Lombard had fallen short of the duty.

While this outcome is consistent with long-established legal principles, lenders should always ensure they do take steps to meet this duty when looking to enforce and sell a secured asset. Such steps may include obtaining specialist valuation and sales advice. Alternatively, lenders may elect to appoint a receiver or administrator to affect the sale rather than the mortgagee itself, and effectively transfer this risk to the relevant officeholder.

### **5. The Implied Duty of Good Faith**

Lastly, the court rejected the borrower's (highly ambitious!) argument that Lombard's decision to terminate the loan agreement on the basis of an event of default was subject to the *Braganza* duty. The *Braganza* duty, in short, requires a party to exercise a contractual discretion rationally and in good faith. In other words, Lombard's right to terminate was not of the type to which this implied duty to act in good faith applied; Lombard was able to exercise this termination right for its own purpose as it saw fit.