1	Grant E. Kinsel, Bar No. 172407		
2	GKinsel@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP		
3	1888 Century Park East		
4	Suite 1700		
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067		
5	Telephone: 310.788.9900 Facsimile: 310.788.3399		
6		100	
7	Attorneys for NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC. and NINTENDO CO., LTD.		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	GAMEVICE, INC.,	C N 2.10 1042 PC	
13		Case No. 3:18-cv-1942-RS	
	Plaintiff,	Motion and Order	
14	v.	to Extend Schedule in View of	
15	NINTENDO CO. LTD., and	COVID-19 Travel Restrictions AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT	
16	NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,		
17	Defendants		
18			
19	NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,		
	Counterclaim Plaintiff,		
20	v.		
21	GAMEVICE, INC.		
22	,		
23	Counterclaim Defendant.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
_,			

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3, Nintendo of America Inc. ("NOA") respectfully moves for an approximately three-month extension of the schedule in this matter in view of the difficulties of both domestic and international travel as a result of COVID-19 travel restrictions. This motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, as well as the Declaration of Grant E. Kinsel, filed herewith, which sets forth with particularity the reasons for the requested schedule extension, and further complies with Civil L.R. 6-3(a)(1)–(6).

Gamevice is expected to oppose this motion.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic and related travel restrictions make conducting a fair trial in this matter on the currently set schedule of July 6, 2020 both dangerous and not feasible. Trial in this case will necessitate both interstate (Washington State and Wisconsin to California) and international (Japan to US and US to Japan) travel for witnesses and counsel alike. It will require hotel stays, and food delivery. It will require witnesses, lawyers, and staff to leave home where they may be taking care of young children. It will require a jury panel in close quarters with each other, and unable to maintain social distancing. It will require close contact between lawyers, witnesses, and court staff. And it will require international travelers to be quarantined for 14 days, unable to work and indeed, required to get to and from that quarantine on their own. All of this is risky.

NOA requests an approximately three-month continuance to the trial schedule in view of the uncertainty—and risk—associated with a jury trial in early July. The requested three-month continuance will, hopefully, allow a new "normal" to emerge so that the parties, and the Court, can better understand how a jury trial will work in the age of COVID-19. Gamevice will say that there is no guarantee that things will be different in three months, and that NOA's request merely exchanges uncertainty now for uncertainty later. And it is certainly true that no one can know what the future holds. It may be better. It may be worse. But we do know the situation now. And right now—and at least for the immediate future—interstate and international travel to and from California is risky.

This is a short five-day trial. The parties are not competitors, and NOA has not sought injunctive relief. Thus, this is a straightforward dam-

ages case that can be conducted virtually anytime. Simply put, there is no urgency such that the Court, the parties, and counsel should risk their lives to attend trial in early July. Therefore, NOA respectfully requests that the Court grant a three-month continuance of the trial.

A SHORT CONTINUANCE IS APPROPRIATE

Trial in this matter is currently scheduled for July 6, 2020, and it is not at all clear that the Court will be open to the public by then. Currently, the Court is closed to the public, and on April 30, 2020, the District issued General Order No. 72-2, precluding jury trials before June 1, 2020. The General Order says that it may be extended. That uncertainty—will the General Order be extended or won't it—makes travel and trial planning very difficult, particularly in view of the fact that many of NOAs witnesses and all of its counsel reside outside the district, and would need to make travel and living arguments within the district for trial.

The burden falls hardest on the witnesses. NOA's trial witnesses will likely come from Japan, Northern California, Washington State, and Florida. Both Washington and Florida are under stay-at-home orders, precluding non-essential travel.

Travel for NOA's Japanese witness (or witnesses) is a particular hardship. Travel from Japan to the United States is not currently restricted, but the State Department has canceled all "routine" visa services.² While there is a visa-waiver program for short-term travel to the United States, that program may be inapplicable here. But even if travel to the United States from Japan was technically feasible, any witness returning to Japan from the United States—including Japanese nationals—will be

¹ ECF No. 100.

² https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/News/visasnews/suspension-of-routine-visa-services.html.

subject to disruptive fourteen-day quarantine. Even getting to and from the quarantine is burdensome as public transportation cannot be used.³

Gamevice will say that a Japanese witness is unnecessary because the "Court must construe the claims and the experts will opine on non-infringement, invalidity and damages." But Gamevice misses the point. NOA—not Gamevice—determines who its important trial witnesses are, and NOA has a right to bring relevant witnesses who it deems important to its case. As just one example, a Japanese witness may be required to testify regarding conception and reduction to practice. Gamevice contends that NOA cannot prove its claimed April 19, 2005 conception and reduction to practice date for one patent, and thus, live trial testimony could be important to establish this date. And this is no minor technical issue because at least one reference that Gamevice asserts is anticipatory, would not be prior art based on an earlier conception and reduction to practice date.

Like the witnesses, NOA's counsel are also located outside the Northern District of California, and would need to travel to California and take up residence in a hotel in the district. NOA's counsel is located in Seattle, Washington, Madison, Wisconsin, and San Diego, California. Both Washington and Wisconsin have stay-at-home orders, closing all non-essential businesses, including schools, and non-essential travel to and from these states is limited.

³ Exhibit 2 to Declaration of Grant E. Kinsel, filed herewith ("Important Guidance on Japan's Border Control Measures Related to COVID-19 For Entrants from the United States.").

⁴ Exhibit 1 to Declaration of Grant E. Kinsel, filed herewith.

⁵ ECF No. 129-2 ("Visser Invalidity Report"), ¶¶ 104–105.

⁶ See ECF No. 136-03 at 12-13.

In view of these difficulties, NOA asked Gamevice to stipulate to the relief requested in this motion. But Gamevice refused because the requested extension was both too short and too long. It was too short because "some of the attorneys on [Gamevice's] trial team are currently scheduled to start a 20 day trial in September." Gamevice said that "the earliest [they] could start [a trial] is October 15." And it was too long because "Nintendo has not shown that its proposal is necessary, will resolve such uncertainty, and will not cause an undue delay in the trial."

NOA is open to other durations of continuance and told Gamevice so. But Gamevice, so far, has refused to suggest any particular solution to the problem, other than to do nothing. While there is no magic to the three-month continuance NOA proposes here, it does appear to be *prima facie* reasonable and avoids the single scheduling conflict Gamevice has articulated. Also, the Court's on-line calendar lists the Court as unavailable on July 9 and 10, which would be during the currently scheduled trial. NOA's proposed extension would avoid this potential conflict too.

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic is not a situation of NOA's making. NOA has been diligently preparing for trial since filing its counterclaims, and does not relish the idea of seeing Gamevice's infringement of NOA's patents continue for longer than necessary. But given the current realities, it does not appear safe or reasonable to ask witnesses to travel across the globe during a pandemic to attend trial. Therefore, NOA respectfully requests that the Court continue the trial for approximately three months to provide some certainty.

Case 3:18-cv-01942-RS Document 177 Filed 05/19/20 Page 7 of 8

1	Dated: May 11, 2020	DEDIVING COLE LLD
2	Dated: Way 11, 2020	PERKINS COIE, LLP
3		By: <u>/s/ Grant E. Kinsel</u>
4		Grant E. Kinsel, Bar No. 172407 gkinsel@perkinscoie.com
5 6		Attorneys for NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC., and NINTENDO CO.,
		LTD.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
2/		

ORDER

Before the Court is Nintendo of America Inc.'s ("NOA's") Motion to Extend Schedule in View of COVID-19 Travel Restrictions. Having fully considered the matter, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED. The parties shall adhere to the following schedule for the remainder of this matter, barring any subsequent modification by the Court:

Event	Date
Pretrial trial disclosures under	August 21, 2020
FRCP 26(a)(3)	
Final Pretrial Conference	September 23, 2020
Trial	October 26, 2020

All other deadlines set in relation to the above dates by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Civil Local Rules, and the Guidelines for Final Pretrial Conference in Jury Cases Before District Judge Richard Seeborg shall be reset based on the above dates.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 19, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICE JUDGE

RICHARD SEEBORG