



1. The Court fully adopts and makes a part hereof the portions of the previous Judgment (Dkt. No. 544) which were not expressly vacated as a part of the Court's prior Order (Dkt. No. 585), to-wit:
  - A. Apple has infringed one or more of the Asserted Claims;<sup>1</sup>
  - B. The Asserted Claims are not invalid;
  - C. Apple's infringement was willful;
  - D. Notwithstanding the jury's finding of willfulness, the Court having considered the totality of the circumstances together with the added material benefit of having presided throughout the jury trial and having seen both the same evidence and heard the same arguments as the jury, and mindful that enhancement is generally reserved for "egregious cases of culpable behavior,"<sup>2</sup> concludes that enhancement of the compensatory award herein is not warranted under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and consequently, the Court elects not to enhance the damages awarded herein;
  - E. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and Supreme Court guidance that "prejudgment interest shall ordinarily be awarded absent some justification for withholding such an award,"<sup>3</sup> the Court awards pre-judgment interest applicable to all sums awarded herein, calculated at the 5-year U.S. Treasury Bill rate, compounded quarterly, from the date of infringement through the date of entry of this Judgment;<sup>4</sup> and

---

<sup>1</sup> The Asserted Claims are claims 6 and 7 of the '332 Patent; claims 1, 14, and 27 of the '284 Patent; claims 1 and 10 of the '557 Patent; claim 6 of the '774 Patent; and claim 8 of the '833 Patent.

<sup>2</sup> *Halo Electronics, Inc. v. Pulse Electronics, Inc.*, 136 S.Ct. 1923, 1934 (2016).


<sup>3</sup> *General Motors Corp. v. Devex Corp.*, 461 U.S. 648, 657 (1983).

<sup>4</sup> *See Nickson Indus., Inc. v. Rol Mfg. Co., Ltd.*, 847 F.2d 795, 800–801 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

- F. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, the Court awards post-judgment interest applicable to all sums awarded herein, at the statutory rate, from the date of entry of this Judgment until paid.
2. Optis is hereby awarded damages against Apple and shall accordingly recover from Apple the sum of \$300,000,000.00 U.S. Dollars as a lump-sum for past and future sales.
  3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d), Local Rule CV-54, and 28 U.S.C. § 1920, Optis is the prevailing party in this case and shall recover its costs from Apple. Optis is directed to file its proposed Bill of Costs.

All other requests for relief now pending and requested by either party but not specifically addressed herein are **DENIED**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of September, 2021.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE