


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

COMPOSITE RESOURCES, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 RECON MEDICAL, LLC,)
)
 Defendant.)
 _____)

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-01755-MMD-VCF

VERDICT



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

COMPOSITE RESOURCES INC,
Plaintiff,
v.
RECON MEDICAL LLC,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01755-MMD-VCF

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury in the above-titled action, find the following special verdict on the Questions submitted to us:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 1 (also referred to as Version 1) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X No _____

QUESTION NO. 2: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 2 (also referred to as Version 1) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X No _____

QUESTION NO. 3: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 3 (also referred to as Version 2) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X No _____

QUESTION NO. 4: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 4 tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X No _____

DATED THIS 2 Day of December 2021.

JURY FOREPERSON