

ORAL ORDER: Having further considered DJI's letter regarding the testimony of Yin Cheung (D.I. 604) and subsequent letters on the issue (D.I. 611, 613), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Ms. Cheung will be permitted to testify at trial regarding the topics identified in DJI's letter (with the sole exception of foreign proceedings, on which the Court will reserve a ruling until it becomes apparent that Philip Wang will not be able to testify during trial). Autel does not dispute that Ms. Cheung appeared on DJI's initial disclosures over four years ago, and DJI has represented that she is the only current DJI employee who could appear live at trial to introduce the company to the jury. Having further considered Autel's letter regarding its request for the Court to order DJI to reduce the number of asserted claims (D.I. 607) and subsequent letters on the issue (D.I. 612, 614), IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that DJI is not required to further narrow its case at this time. DJI has represented that it intends to offer evidence regarding all 27 currently asserted claims (including many dependent claims) at trial, which is reasonable given the limited number of infringement disputes. Should DJI drop additional claims before trial, Autel is free to seek reimbursement of reasonable fees and costs unnecessarily incurred, should it believe that it can show that such relief is warranted. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 8/10/21. (ntl) (Entered: 08/10/2021)

As of August 11, 2021, PACER did not contain a publicly available document associated with this docket entry. The text of the docket entry is shown above.

SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. et al v. Autel Robotics USA LLC et al
1-16-cv-00706 (DDE), 8/10/2021, docket entry 615