Clients&FriendsMemo

FTC Announces 2020 Thresholds for Merger Control Filings Under HSR Act and Interlocking Directorates Under the Clayton Act

January 28, 2020

The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has <u>announced</u> its annual revisions to the dollar jurisdictional thresholds in the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended ("HSR Act"); the revised thresholds will become effective on February 27, 2020. These changes increase the dollar thresholds necessary to trigger the HSR Act's premerger notification reporting requirements. The FTC also increased the thresholds for interlocking directorates under Section 8 of the Clayton Act, effective as of January 21, 2020.

Revised HSR Thresholds

Under the HSR Act, parties involved in proposed mergers, acquisitions of voting securities, unincorporated interests or assets, or other business combinations (*e.g.*, joint ventures, exclusive license deals) that meet certain thresholds must report the contemplated transactions to the FTC and the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") unless an exemption applies. The parties to a proposed transaction that requires notification under the HSR Act must observe a statutorily prescribed waiting period (generally 30 days) before closing. Under the revised thresholds, transactions valued at **\$94 million** or less are not reportable under the HSR Act.

A transaction closing on or after the date the revised thresholds become effective may be reportable if it meets the following revised criteria:

Size of Transaction or Test

The acquiring person will hold, as a result of the transaction, an aggregate total amount of voting securities, unincorporated interests, or assets of the acquired person valued in excess of **\$376 million**;

6 million;

The acquiring person will hold, as a result of the transaction, an aggregate total amount of voting securities, unincorporated interests, or assets of the acquired person valued in excess of **\$94 million** but not more than **\$376 million**, and the Size of Person thresholds below are met.

This memorandum has been prepared by Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP (Cadwalader) for informational purposes only and does not constitute advertising or solicitation and should not be used or taken as legal advice. Those seeking legal advice should contact a member of the Firm or legal counsel licensed in their jurisdiction. Transmission of this information is not intended to create, and receipt does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship. Confidential information should not be sent to Cadwalader without first communicating directly with a member of the Firm about establishing an attorney-client relationship. ©2020 Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP. All rights reserved.

Size of Person Test

One party (including the party's ultimate parent entity and its controlled subsidiaries) has at least \$188 million in total assets or annual sales, and the other has at least \$18.8 million in total assets or annual sales. If the acquired party is not "engaged in manufacturing," and is not controlled by an entity that is, the test applied to the acquired side is annual sales of \$188 million or total assets of \$18.8 million.

The full list of the revised thresholds is as follows:

Original Threshold	2019 Threshold	2020 Revised Threshold (Effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register)
\$10 million	\$18 million	\$18.8 million
\$50 million	\$90 million	\$94 million
\$100 million	\$180 million	\$188 million
\$110 million	\$198 million	\$206.8 million
\$200 million	\$359.9 million	\$376 million
\$500 million	\$899.8 million	\$940.1 million
\$1 billion	\$1,799.5 million	\$1,880.2 million

The filing fees for reportable transactions have not changed, but the transaction value ranges to which they apply have been adjusted as follows:

Filing Fee	Revised Size of Transaction Thresholds	
\$45,000	For transactions valued in excess of \$94 million but less than \$188 million	
\$125,000	For transactions valued at \$188 million or greater but less than \$940.1 million	
\$280,000	For transactions valued at \$940.1 million or more	

Note that the HSR dollar thresholds are only part of the analysis to determine whether a particular transaction must be reported to the FTC and DOJ. Failure to notify the FTC and DOJ under the HSR Act remains subject to a statutory penalty of up to \$43,280 per day of noncompliance.

Revised Thresholds for Interlocking Directorates

Section 8 of the Clayton Act prohibits one person from simultaneously serving as an officer or director of two corporations if: (1) the "interlocked" corporations each have combined capital, surplus, and undivided profits of more than \$38,204,000 (up from \$36,564,000); (2) each

CADWALADER

Clients&FriendsMemo

corporation is engaged in whole or in part in commerce; and (3) the corporations are "by virtue of their business and location of operation, competitors, so that the elimination of competition by agreement between them would constitute a violation of any of the antitrust laws."1

Section 8 provides several exemptions from the prohibition on interlocks for arrangements where the competitive overlaps "are too small to have competitive significance in the vast majority of situations."2 After the revised thresholds take effect, a corporate interlock does not violate the statute if: (1) the competitive sales of either corporation are less than \$3,820,400 (up from \$3,656,400); (2) the competitive sales of either corporation are less than 2 percent of that corporation's total sales; or (3) the competitive sales of each corporation are less than 4 percent of that corporation's total sales.

The revised dollar thresholds for interlocking directorates of \$38,204,000 and \$3,820,400 will be effective upon publication in the Federal Register; there is no 30-day delay as there is for the HSR thresholds.

If you have any questions about this memorandum or any HSR reportability questions, please feel free to contact Joel Mitnick or Ngoc Hulbig from Cadwalader's Antitrust Group.

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 19(a)(1)(B).

² S. REP. NO. 101-286, at 5-6 (1990), reprinted in 1990 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4100, 4103-04.