

Fund Finance **2025**

Ninth Edition

Contributing Editor:

Wes Misson

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Wes Misson

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP

Industry Viewpoints

1 Morganization: origins and evolution of private equity and fund finance

Dr. Mick Young

IPMorganChase

11 The end of Fund Finance?

Mike Mascia

EverBank, N.A.

Expert Analysis Chapters

16 NAV and hybrid fund finance facilities

Leon Stephenson

Reed Smith

29 Collateral damage: what not to overlook in subscription line and management fee line facility diligence

Anthony Pirraglia, Peter Beardsley & Richard Facundo

Loeb & Loeb LLP

41 Derivatives at fund level

Jonathan Gilmour, Joseph Wren, Nicholas Baines & Nick Morgan

Travers Smith LLP

51 Oh, what a sweet life it is with subscription facilities!

Kathryn Cecil, Jons Lehmann & Jan Sysel

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP

60 Fund finance in the secondaries context – liquidity breeds

financing needs

Katie McMenamin, Mimi C. Cheng & Edward Ford

Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

68 NAV facilities – the investor's perspective

Patricia Lynch, Patricia Teixeira & Justin Gaudenzi

Ropes & Gray LLP

75 Enforcement: analysis of lender remedies under U.S. law in subscription-secured credit facilities

Ellen G. McGinnis, Richard D. Anigian & Emily Fuller

Haynes and Boone, LLP

- 94 Use of preferred equity in private equity net asset value facilities Meyer C. Dworkin, David J. Kennedy & Kwesi Larbi-Siaw Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
- 101 Financing evergreen funds: the growth of individual investors in the private equity secondaries market

Brian Foster, George Pelling, Michael Newell & John Donnelly Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP

105 Umbrella facilities: pros and cons for a sponsor Richard Fletcher & Yagmur Yarar

Macfarlanes LLP

138

152

160

115 Side letters: pitfalls and perils for a financing Thomas Smith, Margaret O'Neill & John W. Rife III

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

125 Fund finance lending in Cayman, Luxembourg and Ireland: a practical checklist James Heinicke, David Nelson, Jad Nader & Laura Holtham

> Assessing lender risk in fund finance markets Robin Smith, Alistair Russell, Nick Ghazi & Holly Brown

Carey Olsen Fund finance meets securitisation

Richard Day, Blake Jones & Julia Tsybina

Clifford Chance LLP

- Fund finance facilities: a cradle to grave timeline Bronwen Jones, Kevin-Paul Deveau & Brendan Gallen Reed Smith
- 169 Rated subscription lines: welcoming a new era of fund finance Danny Peel, Charles Bischoff, Laura Smith & Adam Burk Travers Smith LLP

179 Bespoke ABF and ABS liquidity structures of Cayman Islands funds

Dr. Agnes Molnar & Richard Mansi Travers Thorp Alberga

189 NAV and holdco back-levering financings - practicalities of collateral enforcement by asset class

Sherri Snelson & Juliesa Edwards White & Case LLP

199 Collateralised fund obligations

> Christopher P. Duerden, Caroline M. Lee, Anthony Lombardi & Lindsay Trapp Dechert LLP

211 Innovative rated note structures spur insurance investments in private equity

Pierre Maugüé, Ramya Tiller & Christine Gilleland

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

- 220 Financing secondary fund acquisitions
 Ron D. Franklin, Jinyoung Joo & Allison F. Saltstein
 Proskauer
- Any preference? Preferred equity as part of the financing toolkit
 Ravi Chopra, Robert Emerson & Ed Saunders
 Goodwin
- Fund manager M&A: finance considerations and trends
 Matthew Bivona, Corinne Musa & Trevor Vega
 Akin

Michel Iimenez Lunz & Antoine Fortier Grethen

244 Understanding true leverage at the fund level: a European market and sector approach

SIL limenez Lunz

Latham & Watkins

- 252 Institutional investors: the final frontier of net asset value-based finance
 Charlotte Lewis-Williams, Ryan Moreno, Soumitro Mukerji & Mei Mei Wong
 DLA Piper
- 257 The fund finance market in Asia
 James Webb Travers Thorp Alberga
 Ian Roebuck Baker McKenzie

Benjamin Masson Natixis Corporate & Investment Banking

- 267 Securing success: key considerations for account security in fund finance transactions

 Benjamin Berman, Jeremiah Wagner, Donald Cooley & Dan Marcus
- 274 Private credit trends impacting fund finance
 Sarah Kessler, Daniel Durschlag, Mark Proctor & Allison Tam

282 Financing for continuation funds: a practical guide to market trends, opportunities and issue spotting

Fiona Cumming & Parisa Clovis
A&O Shearman

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

Jurisdiction Chapters

289 Australia

Tom Highnam, Rita Pang, Jialu Xu & Nick Swart

Allens

300 Bermuda

Matthew Ebbs-Brewer & Arielle DeSilva

Appleby

308 British Virgin Islands

Andrew Jowett & Johanna Murphy

Appleby

317 Canada

Michael Henriques, John J. Oberdorf III, Kenneth D. Kraft & Tim T. Bezeredi

Dentons Canada LLP

324 Cayman Islands

Simon Raftopoulos & Georgina Pullinger

Appleby

333 England & Wales

Michael Hubbard, Samantha Hutchinson, Nathan Parker & Sukhvir Basran

King & Spalding International LLP

340 France

Philippe Max & Meryll Aloro

Dentons

347 Guernsey

Jeremy Berchem & Leona Maharaj

Appleby

356 Hong Kong

James Ford, Patrick Wong & Natalie Ashford

A&O Shearman

368 Ireland

Kevin Lynch, Ian Dillon, David O'Shea & Ben Rayner

Arthur Cox LLP

384 Italy

Alessandro Fosco Fagotto, Edoardo Galeotti, Valerio Lemma & Giorgio Peli

Dentons

393 Jersey

James Gaudin, Paul Worsnop, Simon Felton & Daniel Healy

Appleby

398 Luxembourg

Vassiliyan Zanev, Marc Meyers & Maude Royer

Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg SARL

409 Mauritius

Malcolm Moller

Appleby

416 Netherlands

Gianluca Kreuze, Michaël Maters & Ruben den Hollander

Loyens & Loeff N.V.

425 Scotland

Andrew Christie, Dawn Reoch & Ruaridh Cole

Burness Paull LLP

432 Singapore

Jean Woo, Danny Tan, Tao Koon Chiam & Hanyin Huang

Ashurst LLP

440 Spain

Jabier Badiola Bergara & Adelaida Torres Rovi

Dentons

448 USA

Jan Sysel, Duncan McKay & Yvonne Ho

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP

Financing evergreen funds: the growth of individual investors in the private equity secondaries market

Brian Foster George Pelling Michael Newell John Donnelly

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP

Participation in the private equity secondaries market has historically been dominated by large institutions, with most transactions being funded by private secondaries funds backed by pools of institutional capital. However, times are changing. As has been widely reported, while the secondaries market has experienced rapid growth in recent years, it still provides only a fraction of the liquidity needed to service the primary market. As a result, fund managers have cast the net wider to try to attract additional capital to take advantage of market opportunities. One of the additional sources of that capital that has been heavily pursued is investment from individual investors. This chapter discusses the development of a market for retail-focused secondaries funds, and in particular the rise of evergreen funds. It also explores some of the key considerations for lenders in providing financing to such funds.

Evergreen funds

Frequently, funds marketed to natural persons are structured as "evergreen" funds that have no defined timeline for wind-down. As a result, these funds have developed other mechanisms to provide investors with liquidity. Some funds are set up as "open-end" funds, and others as "closed-end" funds.

Open-end funds may require subscribing investors to pay all of their commitments upfront or, where the manager is comfortable with the credit risk of the investors (based on a private banking relationship, for example), the fund may obtain contractual capital commitments from investors that can be drawn down by the fund manager as needed to make investments. Once cash is contributed to the funds, it is deployed into new secondary investments or held in more liquid investments until it is needed. Subject to any gates, deferrals or lock-in periods that apply to redemptions, investors can elect to redeem (or tender) all or a portion of their interests in the fund periodically. For example, it is not uncommon for interests in an open-end fund to be subject to redemption by investors on a quarterly basis after the interests have been owned by the redeeming investors for a minimum "lock-in" period of 12 months. In order to ensure that the fund has sufficient cash to process such redemptions, there may be a limit on the overall percentage of interests (e.g., 5%) that can be redeemed in any one redemption period. For some funds, redemption is a firm obligation, and a minimum amount of redemption requests must be satisfied by such funds during each redemption period. For other funds, redemption is a soft obligation, and such funds can decline

to process redemptions (or may reduce the percentage of interests to be redeemed) in a given period as needed to manage liquidity in light of market conditions or other circumstances affecting the fund.

In the United States, open-end funds are the most common type of fund marketed to individual investors. Such funds are typically trusts or limited liability companies that are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and are thus subject to a range of regulations that cover aspects of the fund's operations such as marketing, valuation of assets, incurrence of debt and conflicts of interest. In Europe, open-end funds often come in the form of Irish or Luxembourg alternative investment funds and are increasingly, though far from exclusively, being launched as European Long-Term Investment Funds.

In contrast to open-end funds, closed-end evergreen funds do not provide for a right of redemption or tender. These funds periodically raise capital to make investments in the secondaries market. To provide fund investors access to liquidity, they may be established as listed vehicles and arrange for the interests of the fund to be registered for trading on a stock exchange or other trading platform. Closed-end funds (at least in terms of funds that follow a secondaries strategy) are more often found in European jurisdictions (for example, quoted investment trusts in the UK). These funds are attractive to sponsors in that they do not require investments to be liquidated to manage redemption requests. However, such funds are subject to increased public reporting obligations, and shares in the fund may trade at a discount to net asset value.

The increase in launches of evergreen funds targeting individual investors is not surprising given the recent market environment. On the fund side, fundraising has been challenging for fund managers, making the greater time and expense of sourcing high-net-worth money (and even non-high-net-worth retail money) more worthwhile. At the same time, slow M&A and IPO markets have made it more difficult for fund managers to synchronise the timing of investment realisation events with fund maturities. Evergreen vehicles provide fund managers with greater flexibility to manage the timing of exit events. On the investor side, individual investors have long sought access to alternative investment strategies that have traditionally been reserved for deep-pocketed institutional investors. Evergreen funds provide that access, while also promising a certain amount of access to nearer-term liquidity compared to traditional private funds.

Asset-focused financing

Like traditional funds, evergreen vehicles use financing. However, they are not always good candidates for subscription lines as investors may fully fund their commitments upfront, or the fund's more retail-focused investor pool may not fit traditional underwriting models built around rated, institutional investors. The result is that these funds often use NAV facilities that are underwritten on the assets of the fund, often even in the early stages of the fund. At inception, such facilities may be used to finance investment activity as the funds build up an investment portfolio and continue to raise capital from investors. As the fund matures, the facilities are increasingly used to manage cash flows – paying expenses, meeting capital calls from underlying investments, making tax distributions and, importantly, funding periodic investor redemptions.

Revolving facilities dominate

As a result of the cash management focus of these funds, the credit facilities tend to be revolving facilities rather than term financings, allowing the fund to draw down and repay borrowings as needed. This provides flexibility to the funds, but it also limits the potential universe of lenders willing to provide facilities – the insurance companies and private fund lenders that regularly compete in many corners of the fund finance market generally prefer drawn loans. In order to make the loans more attractive to lenders, they often incorporate upfront fees and/or minimum utilisation requirements so that lenders have a minimum level of income in the event that the facilities are not heavily drawn. Some facilities may combine a term tranche and a revolving tranche.

Investment pools are dynamic

Many financings for traditional secondaries vehicles are underwritten based on static portfolios of investments. Further, those investments tend to be held to maturity. Lenders are able to evaluate the underlying investment funds, their sponsors and even the portfolio companies in which the funds invest before entering into a loan transaction. In addition, they are able to underwrite expected cash flows on the investments rather than their price in the secondaries market. Portfolios for evergreen funds are different; they tend to be more dynamic. Given the long duration of these funds, their investment portfolios may turn over. The funds' sponsors may also be more likely to sell positions that were previously acquired, rather than holding them until their natural maturity. This requires a different approach to underwriting, as lenders have to find other ways to define the profile of an ever-changing portfolio. That approach often involves a more robust set of eligibility criteria for portfolio investment funds to be included in a credit facility borrowing base. It may also involve a broader set of "material investment events" – adverse events that cause a portfolio investment to be excluded for the purpose of the financial covenants. This approach almost always involves the imposition of diversification requirements.

Diversification is key

Risk assessments of secondaries portfolios are based in large part on diversity. Lenders can ensure that a specified level of diversification is maintained by imposing concentration limits in the borrowing base. For example, limits are imposed on the percentage of a borrowing base that can comprise investments in a single fund, investments in a specified number of funds (e.g., top three, five or 10 funds) and investments in funds with the same sponsor (or affiliates of a sponsor). Additional diversity criteria that are applied may include investment strategy (e.g., buyout, credit, real estate, infra and growth/equity), geographic focus (e.g., limits on emerging markets exposure), sector exposure (e.g., energy, industrials, healthcare, financials) or vintage (e.g., limits on newer funds or older "zombie" funds). Concentration limits may also look through the funds to the underlying investments, to ensure that the portfolio is not overly exposed on an aggregate basis to particular companies, industries or geographic regions. Robust diversification requirements are a good way for lenders to manage the dynamic nature of investment portfolios for evergreen funds.

Conclusion

The trend of proliferation of evergreen vehicles focused on individual investors in the private equity secondaries market is clear. The rate at which these vehicles are being established reflects growing demand from individual investors for access to private assets, as well as the undercapitalisation of the private equity secondaries market more generally. The diversity of the underlying portfolios held by these funds provides an attractive financing opportunity, and we expect this to continue to be an area of significant growth in the fund finance market.



Brian Foster

Tel: +1 212 504 6736 / Email: brian.foster@cwt.com

Brian Foster is a partner in Cadwalader's Finance Practice and a member of Cadwalader's market-leading fund finance team. Brian focuses on financing, derivatives and structured products transactions involving financial institutions and investment funds. His experience covers a broad range of derivatives (including OTC trades, structured notes, options, forwards and swaps, with particular focus on equity- and fund-linked derivatives) and financing arrangements (including secondaries financing, fund of hedge fund and single manager hedge fund leverage, management company loans, dividend recapitalisations, NAV facilities, subscription lines, hybrid transactions, preferred share issuances, collateralised fund obligations, margin loans, securities lending and repo facilities and prime brokerage arrangements).



George Pelling

Tel: +44 20 7170 8569 / Email: george.pelling@cwt.com

George Pelling is a partner in the Finance Practice in Cadwalader's London office.

George has extensive experience advising banks, fund managers and other financial institutions on a variety of cross-border financings, with a particular focus on fund finance (including capital call facilities, NAV facilities, hybrid solutions, GP support facilities and other bespoke financings). He also has experience advising investment funds and financial institutions on the acquisition and sale of syndicated bank loans, debt instruments, bond claims and other distressed assets.



Michael Newell

Tel: +44 20 7170 8609 / Email: michael.newell@cwt.com

Mike Newell is a partner in Cadwalader's Financial Services Group, resident in the London office.

Mike has extensive experience in advising clients in the asset management industry and, in particular, on the establishment and reorganisation of onshore and offshore collective investment vehicles. He helps both household names and innovative startups set up new businesses and products in both the mainstream and alternative sectors, and is particularly experienced in advising in relation to hedge, private equity and real asset funds, as well as retail mutual funds, UK-listed investment companies and structured products. He also advises asset managers in relation to general corporate and regulatory matters and institutional investors on their private fund investments and secondary sales.



John Donnelly

Tel: +44 20 7170 8679 / Email: john.donnelly@cwt.com

John Donnelly focuses on fund finance. His experience includes advising financial institutions on the provision of subscription line facilities, hybrid/NAV facilities and GP support/management fee line facilities to various private equity, infrastructure, real estate and credit funds. John also has extensive experience in leverage finance having previously worked for a magic circle law firm, acting for lenders on top-tier sponsor acquisitions.

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP

200 Liberty Street New York, NY 10281, USA Tel: +1 212 504 6000 / URL: www.cadwalader.com



Global Legal Insights – Fund Finance provides in-depth analysis, insight and intelligence across 31 expert analysis chapters and 19 jurisdictions, covering key industry trends and developments including:

- · Fund formation and finance
- · Net asset value facilities
- · Hybrid facilities
- · Subscription lines
- · Enforcement
- · Secondaries
- · Ratings
- · Collateralised fund obligations

Written by leading industry participants from across the industry, this is the definitive legal guide for the global fund finance industry in 2025.

globallegalinsights.com